

Evaluation Grid		Reference No:	Maximum Score	Project Score	Remarks	Notes on the criteria for scoring
Note: Assess each item on a scale from 0 to 5						
			100	0	<<< Total current score	
1) Project / business idea or concept and logic			20	0		
1	The benefits to be produced by the project or the problem to be overcome, are clearly identified and the technology / methodology / mechanism for producing the benefits or overcoming the identified problem is clear, appropriate and likely to be successful. The project has clear understandable and relevant purpose which leads or contributes to the project overall objective.		5			
2	The main beneficiaries are clearly identified. Their needs have been clearly defined and properly addressed. There is a clear and direct mechanism through which the beneficiaries will receive the benefits, and this is likely to work successfully.		3			
3	The project has clearly defined outputs which are necessary and sufficient to achieve the project purpose.		3			
4	The project has a clearly defined and understandable set of main activities which are consistent with the objective. They are feasible and necessary and sufficient to produce all the project outputs.		3			
5	The likely social, environmental, economic and other risks have been identified and appropriate mitigation measures have been proposed.		3			
6	The project M&E approach / system proposed shows a good understanding of M&E and is likely to be effective in building understanding and learning about the project, and identifying potential problems in a timely manner.		3			
2) Impact, ownership, sustainability, replicability and spread			40	0		
7	The project brings social benefits such as access to, and supply of reliable, affordable and clean energy. It contributes to the improvement of water, sanitation, education, health, agriculture, forestry, rural development, etc.		8			
8	The project has a sustainable impact on local / national income generation, e.g. through promoting entrepreneurship and creating jobs. Beneficiaries of the project are directly involved in its planning and implementation. Potential productive uses of energy are identified.		6			
9	The project contributes to the improvement of the living conditions of the rural poor, ethnic minorities, women, children, and other marginalised groups.		6			
10	The project has a positive impact on the environment, such as reducing pollution and depletion of resources. It promotes efficient use of energy and other resources.		5			
11	The project helps the country and the population to adapt to climate change and mitigates climate change by reducing emissions or sequestering carbon dioxide.		5			
12	The project has a strong potential for replication through its impact on energy savings and income generation. It has potential for scaling up through demonstrating technology feasibility, providing a model for replication, improving funding mechanisms and opportunities, etc.		5			
13	The project will put in place measures required to either continue the operations of things which should be continued (e.g. capacity and management of established local enterprises), or feed into the follow on activities (e.g. secure external funding for the next stage).		5			
3) Innovation, learning and dissemination			10	0		
14	Innovation: The Project will help to develop some new and innovative technology and includes technology / know-how transfer. AND / OR: The Project will help to develop some new and innovative approaches, linkages, financial / credit instruments, etc, and this is likely to support the development of policy and spread of new RE technologies.		6			Full points if technology is new to the country/region; half points if not first but with some degree of innovation
15	There is a well defined plan to capture, document and disseminate the knowledge to be generated by the project. The plan should identify the knowledge expected, its usefulness and the target groups concerned.		4			
4) Budget, cost-effectiveness and financing			10	0		
16	The budget appears to be sufficient for and justified by the proposed activities and expected outputs. The main resources needed have been clearly identified and the project costs are properly estimated.		5			

17	The financing contributions from the lead partner, other partners including local partners and beneficiaries and other confirmed sources of financing are appropriate.		3		
18	All the necessary sources of financing have been confirmed.		2		Score in proportion to the % of total budget confirmed.
5) Management / Resources / Partnership			20	0	
19	The applicant and partners have sufficient technical expertise (full score if all the required expertise is available in one or other of the partners).		5		
20	The applicant and partners have sufficient management experience (full score for sufficient experience within the region / country / location and for the technical area / sector and type of project) and adequate financial capacity.		4		
21	There are clear operational and management arrangements between the lead applicant and partners, and the divisions of responsibilities for implementation of activities and financing are clear and appropriate.		3		
22	The project includes a market sector partner.		3		
23	The proposed project enhances co-operation between local project developers and partners from donor countries.		5		Full score if there is participation from Nordic countries or 0 if not.
EVALUATOR'S OVERALL RECOMMENDATION AND COMMENT					
A	Recommended as possible for EEP Funding (Yes / No)				
	If no, what are the main reasons?				
B	If recommended for funding, what items should be addressed and elaborated in the Full Project Proposal Document. If NOT recommended for funding, what are the main improvements needed for re-submission of this Concept Proposal in the next call, in case the Proposal is not recommended for funding. .				
EVALUATOR'S DETAILS					
	Evaluator's name:				
	Organisation:				
	Date:				
	Place:				